UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,118	09/19/2005	Hisashi Akiyama	10873.1780USWO 1217	
53148 HAMRE, SCH	7590 01/02/2008 UMANN, MUELLER &	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902-0902			WEATHERBY, ELLSWORTH	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			, 01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/550,118	AKIYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ellsworth Weatherby	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	•			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/2005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

10/550,118 Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant uses the term, "ROM" without providing a definition in the specification. For the purposes of examination "ROM" is being interpreted as "device". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (GB 2 216 660 A) in view of Pini (USPN 5,159,931).

Yamamoto et al '660 (hereinafter Yamamoto) teaches An ultrasonic probe, comprising: an ultrasonic transducer that scans an ultrasonic beam (Fig. 1, ref. 11); a transducer-swinging motor that allows the ultrasonic transducer to perform swing scanning in a direction crossing a scanning direction of the ultrasonic beam (Fig. 1, refs. 12-13); a rotary encoder that generates a pulse according to a rotational position of the transducer-swinging motor (Fig. 1, ref. 14); and an encoder correction device that stores an actual swing scanning angle of the ultrasonic transducer and outputs the stored actual swing scanning angle of the ultrasonic transducer to outside (pg. 5, par. 3-4). Yamamoto also teaches that the correction device stores swing directional angles that

Application/Control Number:

10/550,118 Art Unit: 3768

are different between a forward path of swing scanning and a return path of the swing scanning (pg. 6, par. 1).

Yamamoto et al. '660 teaches using a digital switch that can be used as a correcting signal to an output signal of the encoder (pg. 8, par. 2). However, Yamamoto does not expressly teach a counter that counts the outputs from the rotary encoder.

In the same field of endeavor, Pini '931 (hereinafter) Pini teaches a counter that controls a counter for sectorial scanning and a counter for rotation control which are combined for controlling the stepper motor driver (col. 8, II. 40-58).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yamamoto with Pini. The motivation to modify Yamamoto with Pini would have been to control and for the labeling of the images stored to memory, as taught by Pini (col. 8, Il. 59-67).

Claims 3-6 do not contain any feature which, alone or in combination with the features of any claim they refer meet the requirements of novelty and/or inventive step over claims 1 and 2. Therefore, the same reasoning from claims 1 and 2 applies *mutatis mutandis* to the subject matter of the corresponding claims 3-6.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellsworth Weatherby whose telephone number is (571) 272-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

10/550,118 Art Unit: 3768

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EW

Brell